



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/650,165	08/28/2003	Curtis Reese	100202879-1	7054
22879 7590 12/13/2007 HEWLETT PACKARD COMPANY P O BOX 272400, 3404 E. HARMONY ROAD INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ADMINISTRATION			EXAMINER	
			PHAM, THIERRY L	
	AL PROPERTY ADM NS, CO 80527-2400	INISTRATION	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2625	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/13/2007	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

JERRY.SHORMA@HP.COM mkraft@hp.com ipa.mail@hp.com

1		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
·		10/650,165	REESE ET AL.		
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
		Thierry L. Pham	2625		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app		orrespondence address		
A SHO WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failur Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAISIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	I. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
2a)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02 Oc</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowan closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro			
Dispositi	on of Claims				
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.			
Applicati	on Papers				
10) 🗌 -	The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the o Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example.	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
2) 🔲 Notice	(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa	te		
Paper	Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Uther:				

10/650,165 Art Unit: 2625

DETAILED ACTION

- This action is responsive to the following communication: an Amendment filed on 10/2/07.
- Claims 1-21 are currently pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Hemstreet et al (US 6931447).

The applied reference has a common assignee with the instant application. Based upon the earlier effective U.S. filing date of the reference, it constitutes prior art under 35 U.S.C. 102(e). This rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) might be overcome either by a showing under 37 CFR 1.132 that any invention disclosed but not claimed in the reference was derived from the inventor of this application and is thus not the invention "by another," or by an appropriate showing under 37 CFR 1.131.

Regarding claim 1, Hemstreet discloses a method for remotely monitoring a printer status (printer status monitoring, fig. 2), comprising the steps of:

- selecting a printer status object from among a list of selectable printer status objects (status event, fig. 2) in a remote client in data communication with a printer;
- generating an email (generating status request email, fig. 1a and fig. 2, col. 8, lines 16-60) in the remote client (client 111, fig. 1a);

Art Unit: 2625

• writing a status request into the email (email format, fig. 1a and 4a-44f) in a printer management language native (col. 11, lines 25-35) to the printer, the status request requesting a current status of the printer status object in the printer;

- transmitting (transmitting via network, fig. 1a) the email to the printer; and
- receiving a reply email (sample reply email from printer, fig. 3) from the printer that includes the current status (e.g. ink status, fig. 3) of the printer status object in the printer, the current status being expressed in the printer management language native to the printer.

Regarding claim 2, Hemstreet further discloses the method of claim 1, further comprising the steps of:

- generating an initial email in the remote client (fig. 1a and fig. 2, col. 8, lines 16-60);
- writing a request for the list of selectable printer status objects (e.g. fig. 2) into the initial email;
- receiving an initial reply email (fig. 2-3) from the printer, the initial reply including the list of selectable printer status objects; and
- parsing the initial reply email to obtain the list of selectable printer status objects (fig. 2-3) from the initial reply email.

Regarding claim 3, Hemstreet further discloses the method of claim 1, further comprising the step of obtaining the list of selectable printer status objects from a server (server 107, notes: server 107 is embedded in printer 105) in data communication with the remote client.

Regarding claim 4, Hemstreet further discloses the method of claim 1, further comprising the steps of: parsing the reply email to identify the current status of the printer status object (fig. 3); and displaying the current status associated with the printer status object (fig. 3).

10/650,165

Art Unit: 2625

Regarding claim 5, Hemstreet further discloses the method of claim 1, further comprising

the step of displaying the reply email with the current status (fig. 3) of the printer status object.

Regarding claim 6, Hemstreet further discloses the method of claim 1, further comprising

the step of displaying the list of selectable printer status objects on a display device (client's

monitor, fig. 3).

Regarding claim 7, Hemstreet further discloses the method of claim 6, wherein the step of

displaying the list of selectable printer status objects on the display device further comprises the

step of displaying a name (e.g. fig. 3) of each of the printer status objects in the printer

management language native to the printer.

Regarding claim 8, Hemstreet further discloses the method of claim 6, wherein the step of

displaying the list of selectable printer status objects on the display device further comprises the

steps of: mapping a name of each the selectable printer status objects expressed in the printer

management language native to the printer to a corresponding user friendly name (fig. 3); and

displaying the corresponding user friendly name for each of the selectable printer status objects.

Regarding claim 9, Hemstreet discloses a method for reporting a printer status to a

remote client, comprising the steps of:

• receiving an email in a printer (receiving email message from client 111, fig. 1) from the

remote client, the email including a request for a status of a printer status object (status request

email, fig. 1a and fig. 2, col. 8, lines 16-60) in the printer, the request being expressed in a printer

management language native to the printer;

• parsing (filter 127, fig. 1b) the email in the printer to obtain the request therefrom;

10/650,165 Art Unit: 2625

- submitting the request for the status of the printer status object directly to a printer management subsystem (server 107, note: server 107 is embedded within printer 105) in the printer without altering the request, the printer management subsystem maintaining (status server 109 and 121, fig. 1b) a status of a plurality of predefined printer status objects in the printer;
- writing a current status (sample status as shown in fig. 3) of the printer status object provided by the printer management subsystem into a reply email; and
- transmitting (transmitting via network, fig. 1) the reply email to the remote client.

Regarding claim 10, Hemstreet further discloses a system (system, fig. 1a) for remotely monitoring printer status, comprising:

- a processor circuit (client 111, fig. 1a) having a processor and a memory;
- a remote printer management system (server 107, fig. 1b) stored in the memory and executable by the processor, the printer monitoring system comprising:
- logic that facilitates a selection of a printer status object from among a list of selectable printer status objects (lists of selectable objects, fig. 2);
- logic that generates an email (generating status request email, fig. 1a and fig. 2, col. 8, lines 16-60) to be transmitted to a printer;
- logic that writes a request for a status of the printer status object in the printer into the email (email format, fig. 1a and 4a-44f), the request being expressed in a printer management language native to the printer; and
- logic that transmits (transmitting via network, fig. 1a) the email to the printer to receive a reply email (reply email includes printer's status, fig. 3) from the printer that includes the current status of the printer status object in the printer.

10/650,165 Art Unit: 2625

Regarding claim 11, Hemstreet further discloses the system of claim 10, wherein the remote printer management system further comprises:

- logic that generates an initial email (fig. 1a and fig. 2, col. 8, lines 16-60)to be transmitted to the printer;
- logic that writes a request for the list of selectable printer status objects (e.g. fig. 2) into the initial email; and
- logic that parses an initial reply email (fig. 3) received from the printer to identify the list of selectable printer status objects included in the initial reply email.

Regarding claim 12, Hemstreet further discloses the system of claim 10, wherein the remote printer management system further comprises logic that requests the list of selectable printer status objects (fig. 3) from a server through a network.

Regarding claim 13, Hemstreet further discloses the system of claim 10, wherein the remote printer management system further comprises:

- logic that parses the reply email to identify the current status of the printer status object (fig. 3) included therein; and
- logic that displays the current status (fig. 3) associated with the printer status object.

Regarding claim 14, Hemstreet further discloses the system of claim 10, wherein the remote printer management system further comprises logic that displays the list of selectable printer status objects on a display device by displaying a name (fig. 3) of each of the printer status objects in the printer management language native to the printer.

10/650,165

Art Unit: 2625

Regarding claim 15, Hemstreet further discloses the system of claim 10, wherein the remote printer management system further comprises logic that displays the list of selectable printer status objects on a display device by: mapping a name of each the selectable printer status objects expressed in the printer management language native to the printer to a corresponding user friendly name (fig. 3); and displaying the corresponding user friendly name for each of the selectable printer status objects.

Regarding claims 16-19 recite limitation that are similar and in the same scope of invention as to those in claims 1-4 above; therefore, claims 16-19 are rejected for the same rejection rationale/basis as described in claims 1-4.

Regarding claims 20-21 recite limitation that are similar and in the same scope of invention as to those in claim 1 above; therefore, claims 20-21 are rejected for the same rejection rationale/basis as described in claim 1.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 10/2/07 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

• Regarding claim 1, the applicants argued the cited prior art of record (US 6931447 to Hemstreet et al) fails to teach and/or suggest the concept of selecting a printer status object from among a list of selectable printer status objects in a remote client, wherein the status request is embodied in a printer status management language that is recognizable by the printer.

In response, the examiner fully disagrees with applicants' assertions/arguments. Hemstreet clearly teaches a method of selecting (check box 203, fig. 2) a printer status object from among a list of selectable printer status objects (plurality of printer status objects including ink low, out of paper, service, door open, and etc, fig. 2) in a remote client (client computer 1, fig. 1a) in data communication with a printer (printer as shown in fig. 1b), wherein the status request is embodied in a printer status management language that is recognizable by the printer (printer as taught by Hemstreet having an embedded server 107 for interpreting plurality of languages

10/650,165 Art Unit: 2625

including HTML, email, PML, fig. 1b, col. 4, lines 60-67 and col. 11, lines 25-47). As clearly stated in col. 8, lines 30-47, wherein an incoming email message (e.g. email message including printer status request) is deciphered and to generate a reply message to the client the printer status via email (col. 8, lines 40-48). Moreover, col. 8, lines 48-67, wherein Hemstreet clearly teaches "a client 111 can have the printer 105 performs tasks via an email message, "device configuration over e-mail, device query over e-mail, device diagnostics over e-mail, and device tasks over e-mail". Hemstreet can obtain printer status via e-mail; configure the printer via email, and etc. Therefore, Hemstreet clearly teaches all the features/limitations as cited in claim 1.

• Regarding claim 2, the applicants argued the cite prior art of record (Hemstreet et al) fails to teach and/or suggest writing a request for the list of selectable printer status objects into the email message and receiving a reply with the list of selectable printer status objects from the printer.

In response, the examiner herein fully disagrees. Hemstreet clearly teaches a method for writing a request for the list of selectable printer status objects (e.g. fig. 2) into the initial email; and receiving an initial reply email (fig. 2-3) from the printer, the initial reply including the list of selectable printer status objects. Fig. 2 is *an example* of printer status objects list that allows the client to select the type of the diagnostics and/or status the client receive would like to receive via email. Printer status request can be obtained via using a web page as shown in fig. 2 or using email application provided in client's apparatus. Furthermore on col. 9, lines 8-20, wherein it states "an e-mail message containing a device query is sent by a client and is received into the EMH 124 component. The filter 127 component examines the contents and forwards it to a query handler subroutine which executes the query to the DSM 121 component to obtain the current status related to the query". Clearly, this teaching addresses the applicants' arguments. Hemstreet also teaches "a client 111 can have the printer 105 performs tasks via an email message, "device configuration over e-mail, device query over e-mail, device diagnostics over e-mail, and device tasks over e-mail". Hemstreet can obtain printer status via e-mail; configure the printer via email, and etc, fig. 1a, col. 8, lines 48-67 and col. 11, lines 1-25. The applicants herein

10/650,165 Art Unit: 2625

based his/her arguments plainly on fig. 2 without considering a disclosure as taught by Hemstreet as a whole. Also notes: email application such as Microsoft Outlook (col. 8, lines 34-35) allows devices to transmit email messages along with attachment, wherein printer status objects list can be embedded in the body of the e-mail or embedded as an attachment.

• Regarding claim 3, the applicants argued the cited prior art of record (Hemstreet et al) fails to teach and/or suggest obtaining the list of selectable printer status objects from a server in data communication with the remote client.

In response, the examiner herein fully disagrees. Printer as taught Hemstreet is embedded with a server 107, wherein it performs plurality of tasks include transmitting the list of selectable printer status objects as shown in fig. 2. Server 107 including plurality of subsystems (e.g. web server 108 for displaying web pages including a list of printer status objects as shown in fig. 2 that can be viewed by users, status server 109, DSM 121 is a server program to send appropriate e-mail to clients in response to event detection (col. 8, lines 10-30), and EMH 125 for sending and receiving emails. In other words, any data can be transmitted between two devices (printer and client apparatus) including list of printer status objects using e-mail application such as Microsoft Outlook or HTML format. Fig. 3 is an exemplary of a message that is communicated between two devices (e.g. printer and client apparatus). Hemstreet can obtain printer status via e-mail; configure the printer via email, and etc, fig. 1a, col. 8, lines 48-67 and col. 11, lines 1-25. Also notes: email application such as Microsoft Outlook (col. 8, lines 34-35) allows devices to transmit email messages along with attachment, wherein printer status objects list can be embedded in the body of the e-mail or embedded as an attachment.

• Regarding claims 7 & 8, the applicants argued the cited prior art of record (Hemstreet) fails to teach and/or suggest how printer status objects are displayed. In particular, the printer status may be displayed in the printer management language native to the printer or by using friendly names.

10/650,165

Art Unit: 2625

In response, the examiner herein fully disagrees. Fig. 2 shows an example of a list of printer status object that is displayed in HTML format by using friendly names that can be comprehend by wide range of users. Notes: printer as taught by Hemstreet contains an embedded server that is capable of understanding and compatible with plurality of languages (e.g. HTML, PLM, Adobe, e-mail, and C++).

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thierry L. Pham whose telephone number is (571) 272-7439. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (9:30 AM - 6:00 PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David K. Moore can be reached on (571)272-7437. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

10/650,165 Art Unit: 2625

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Thierry L. Pham

GABRIEL GARCIA
PRIMARY EXAMINER